App F IX 4

*Previous References* 194 ( Rel ) File No 144-45

Rel 179-42 (1-2) Serial Nos 1-2

Laws

*Later References*

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

*Serial Nos* -Two

Part B for Draft

SUBJECT

C S no 20/42-43 on the file of Sub-grade Clerks

Hereunder

To be laid

L 1132 & 1878/43

Clerks that the expenditure in connection with the Chargeable to the funds of the Arsi Area Municipality

M T B F 13]

Mysore Traffic Board | File No | of 194

D 1043
SPC

Serial No

Submitted

When I was the Ex-officio President of the Arsikere Municipality in 1940, I lodged a complaint for theft of Municipal property against Mr Yella Narasimha Setty who was the Vice President of the said Municipality for some time . Thereupon, the accused was convicted by the Special I Class Magistrate, Hassan, and the conviction was confirmed in appeal by the District and Sessions Judge, Mysore, though the sentence was reduced The High Court of Mysore acquitted the accused on his revision petition

Mr K Narasimha Setty filed a suit (O S No 20/42-43) in the Additional Sub Judge's Court, Hassan, against the Arsikere Municipality as the I Defendant and against myself as the II Defendant claiming damages for -- malicious prosecution

Government have been pleased to consider that the act done by me was purely in my official capacity and in their order No P 8603-06/Land 207-42-2 dated 20-5-43 Government sanctioned the appearance of the Public Prosecutor, Hassan District, to defend me in the said suit In Law Secretary's letter No L 6647/M1 148-43-6 dated 4th January 1944 to the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, instructions were issued that all expenses connected with my defence in the suit should be met from the funds of the Arsikere Municipal Council

The suit stands posted for hearing on 29th July 1944 at the Additional Sub Judge's Court, Hassan.

*Note* —Contn sheet

WD 07201—GPB—5 000—1-11-43

D 1043 SPC

File No of 194 | Mysore Traffic Board

Seria No

The Public Prosecutor, Hassan, writes that I should be present on the hearing date as ordered by the Court. His letter of 22nd June 1944 is placed below for kind perusal. I have also some points relating to my defence to discuss with the Public Prosecutor. It may also be necessary for me to look into some of the Municipal records and registers, at Arsikere, incidental to the defence of the suit. For these purposes I will have to be with the Public Prosecutor a day earlier than the hearing date.

In the above circumstances, I request kind permission to be absent from Bangalore from the 27th instant night to the 30th instant inclusive (30th is a Sunday) to meet the Public Prosecutor and attend the hearing and to visit Arsikere also if necessary.

483 dy 7.6.44

The Superintendents of the respective Offices (Stores Purchase Committee and Traffic Board) will look after urgent current work during my absence.

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR R[illegible] 21 JUN 1944 No 421

President S.P.C. dy 19/7/44

Chief Secretary and Law Secretary

Mr K K and Mr D C to note & return 22/7

dy 24/7

noted DGR 24/7

D 3896 SPC Resubmitted. As promised above I attended the hearing noted HHB 26/7

M T B F 13

Mysore Traffic Board | File No | of 194

D No 3896/S P C

Re D No 4005/S.P.C

Serial No

The hearing on the 29th July 1944 at the Additional Sessions Judge's Court Hassan the suit stands adjourned for hearing on the 14th inst The Judge observed that, if necessary, the hearing would also be adjourned from day to day namely the 15th and 16th inst The Public Prosecutor has informed me that I would be examined for ~~the~~ defence on any of these days

I therefore request for kind permission to be absent from Bangalore ~~on~~ for three days from the 14th to the 16th inst

Perhaps Mr Shamanna, Asst Secretary D L C Branch may be kindly instructed to attend to urgent work during my absence

President S P C
Chief Secretary
Genl Secretary
Thro

ds 5-9-44
ds 6/9
ds 7/9







KLL 12/9

WP 11/9/44

ds 12/9

For favour of note & return

Note—Contn sheet

Asst. Secy D L C (Br)

ds 12/9/44

WD 07201—GPB—15000—1-11-40

TO 12/9/44

ds 12/9

File No [illegible] Mr. [illegible] Pt 1

Serial No

Received letter No 22 dated 12 [illegible]

Public Prosecutor Hussain stating in the reasons explained that I need not attend the court in the [illegible]

The letter of the Public Prosecutor, Hussain is for kind perusal in the circumstances stated by him, I do not proceed to Hussain and I am standing office as usual

Chief Secretary [illegible] Govt. 14.9.44 1922 - 1419

(1) 1419 24483

(2) 20.9.44

Received letter No 35 dated 15.9.44 from the P.P. Hussain intimating that the case stands adjourned to 19.10.44

The letter of the PP Hussain is for kind perusal the case stands adjourned to 19.10.44. I may be kindly permitted to be absent from HQ to attend the hearing

Mr Sharma, but my presence may be instructed to attend to urgent work during my absence

I may [illegible] Govt. say [illegible] to 4.10.44 [illegible]

M T B F 13j

Mysore Traffic Board | File No | of 194

Serial No

OCT 19 1944
No 3230

DTO 3896/GPE

For favour of note & return
Asst Secy (Doc wise) dt 12/10
Dy 12/10/44

Submitted for perusal
President SPC dt 17 10 44
19/10
dt 20/10

Received letter No 45 dated nil from the Public Prosecutor Hassan stating that the suit stands adjourned to 4 1 1945 & the letter is for kind perusal As the suit stands adjourned to 4 1 1945 for evidence and as I am required to attend the same I may be kindly permitted to be absent from Head Quarters on the said date

Mr Shamanna Asst Secretary will

DEC 16 1944
4759

if approved he requested to attend to Current work during my absence

3617/44 4965

Chief Secy
President SPC
Thro'
Ch Secy

dt 14 12 44
16/12

Note—Contn sheet

WD 07201—GTB—5 000—1-11-43

Noted & noted
Secy SPC
19/12

for favour of note & return
Asst Secy (Doc wise) dt 18/12

dt 15/12/44
dt 16/12
dt 18/12
Submitted

D. 1043/47

File No

Mysore Traffic Board

Serial No

Received Letter No

Subd

The Chief Secretary is on leave – Lee Rd. 24th and the Asst Secretaries are looking after urgent work. This has been seen by the Genl Secy – There appears to be no need to keep it until the Chief Secretary returns

12/10

D 3896

4187
9C

File No | of 194 | Mysore Traffic Board

Serial No

JAN 12 1945
No 5359

5657
10 JAN 45
GOVT OF

Received letter No 53 dated 6 1 45 from the Public Prosecutor Hassan stating that the case is posted to 18 1 45 for my examination

The letter is for kind perusal the suit stands posted to 18 1 45 for the examination of the Plaintiff and myself It is also suggested that if necessary I may have to stay at Hassan on the 19th instant also I may be kindly permitted to be absent from Head quarters on the said dates

If approved, Mr Shamanna Asst Secretary will be requested to be attend to current work during my absence

Genl Secy
President S P C
thro
Ch Secy

dy 9 1 45

WO
10/1/45

13/1

10 1 45

dy 11/1

5972
CB
GOVT O

For favour of note and return

Asst Secy
Dev wise

dy 16/1/45

Sir/Sd I have been sanctioned casual leave for the 19th inst the officer who will be in charge of the branch may perhaps be in charge of this also on the 19th

Mr S K Venkatramana will be in charge

12/1/45

chief Secy

JCI
WCI
18/1/45
for
17 1 45 - Col 18/1

C 1 69]

Continuation Sheet | File No / Current No: D 3896 / S P C | of | Page: 4 1 (

May be sent to SPC Office

TR 18/1/48

Ld 23/1/48

~~Bem~~ Submitted As per orders above, I attended the Court at Hassan on the 18th instant Owing to the absence of the plaintiff on account of illness the suit was adjourned to 27/1/48 as a final chance The Judge was pleased to observe that the case would be closed on that date under any circumstances In order to prepare myself I have to be at Hassan the previous evening I may therefore be kindly permitted to be absent from Bangalore on the 26th and 27th inst and to return back on the 29th inst ~~Sunday~~ the Monday morning 20th being a Sunday

If approved Mr Shamanna, Asst Secretary will be requested to attend to Current work during my absence

Genl Secy / Chief Secy ) dss 23/1/48

4203/47/1 CPO 6155 WD

WD 23/1/48

25/1/48

SNB

Mr Shamanna is on leave and on the 19th instant, the under Secy (Mr Venkataramana Aiyer) was in charge of the Secretary's work Perhaps the same arrangement will have to be made during the absence of the Secretary now.

25/1/48

WD 25/1/48 Submitted

WD 0720—C I B—25 000—1 9 44

S 3896
S P E

Continuation Sheet | File No / Current No # | of | Page

Submitted for perusal

President
S P C ) ds 25/1/45

JAN 26 19
1-5660

ds
3/2

Received Letter No 60 dated 6 2 45
from the Public Prosecutor, Hassan
intimating the result of the Suit

The Public Prosecutor, Hassan intimates that the suit stands dismissed with Costs

Submitted for perusal

Chief Secy
Dep Secy
Law Secy ) ds 10 2 45

10 2 45

GOVT OF MYSORE

CHIEF SECY 6275
12 FEB 1945
GOVT OF MYSORE

WS
14/2/45

ds
19/2

M T B F 13]

Mysore Traffic Board | File No 2643 M T B | of 194

| Serial No | |
|---|---|
| | Letter No XX 1653 d 15/5/45 from the Dist. Judge, Mysore forwarding notices in duplicate in R.A. 257 of 44-45 of the court for being served on Smt D S Rajhavendrachar, the Legl Spl & the original returned before the date of hearing viz 9/6/45 |
| on the file | 1) This is an appeal against the judgement d 31/1/45 passed by the Additional Sub-Judge, Hassan dismissing the Appellant's suit against the Respondents in O S 20 of 42-43 on his file The papers connected with the O.S. 20 are putting below it will be seen therefrom that the public prosecutor, Hassan, was ordered to defend the case A similar procedure appears necessary now Subo for orders<br>Hbb<br>18/5/45 |
| | 2) In Their order No P8603-06/Land 207-42-2 dated 20 5 43 Govt sanctioned the appearance of the Public Prosecutor, Hassan to defend me in the original suit launched by the appellant Instructions were issued to the Deputy Commissioner Hassan in Law Secretary's letter No L 6647/ML 148-43-6 |

*Note* —Contn sheet

365 B pp 1/42

File No | of 194 | Mysore Traffic Board

Seria No

Dated 4 1 44 that all expenses connected with my defence in the suit should be met from the funds of the Arsikere municipal council and payments for the purpose are being made from the municipal funds accordingly

3) The suit was dismissed with Costs in the trial Court the appellants have now preferred an appeal against the judgment of the lower Court to the Dist Judges' Court mysore

4) The Public Prosecutor Hassan has studied the case from the time the criminal appeal was before the Dist. and sessions judge mysore and he has also defended me successfully in the original suit before the Addnl Sub Judge Hassan

5) Kind orders are solicited on the following points
(1) to take service of the summons
(2) to appoint the Public Prosecutor Hassan to defend me in the appeal,
(3) to direct the Deputy Comr Hassan to meet all Costs and charges incidental to my defence in the appeal be met from the municipal funds of Arsikere municipality

6) The appeal is posted for hearing on 9 6 45 - Perhaps the Law Secretary may be consulted

7) Govt Secy) dtd 18/5/43
8) for favour of advice Law Secy) dtd 21/5/43

Dear Sir
Yours
Com

24/5

9658

M T B F 13]

Mysore Traffic Board

Re Authority Govt to appear in R A 257 of 46-47 on the file of the Dt Judge, Mysore

File No of 194

Serial No

10) This is in respect of the appeal filed by Kolla Narasimha Setty of Arsikere against the judgment in O S no 20 of 42-43 on the file of the Additional Subordinate Judge at Hassan dismissing the suit

11) The suit was against the Town Municipal Council and Mr D S Raghavendrachar (at present the Secretary, Stores Purchase Committee, Bangalore) As the suit was filed against Mr D S Raghavendrachar in his Official Capacity, sanction was accorded by Govt for the Public Prosecutor Hassan Dt appearing for him and defending him in the case (vide G.O. P 8603-6, dated 20 5 '43 7

M

12) Now that an appeal has been preferred by the plaintiff, ~~sanction~~ order of Govt are sought for the following

1) to take service of the summons

2) to appoint engage the P P, Hassan, to defend Mr D S Raghavendrachar in the Appeal and

3) to direct the D C, Hassan Dt, to meet all the expenses incidental to the defence in the appeal from out of the Municipal funds of the Arsikere Municipality

13) No doubt summons has to be accepted and service taken by the person concerned

*Note* —Contn sheet

WD 07201—GPB—5 000—1-11-40

9608

Re Authorising Govt Counsel to appear in R A 25-7 of this Court on the file of the D J, Mysore

File No of 194 Mysore Traffic Board

Serial No

14) So far as engaging the services of the P P of Hassan to defend the 2nd Respdt (Mr D S Raghavendrachar) is concerned, it is stated that as he (P P of Hassan) has studied the case from the very beginning and as he has successfully defended the person concerned in the Court below, he may be instructed to conduct the defence in the Appeal as well This does not appear to be necessary inasmuch as there is the Govt Pleader at Mysore who may be entrusted with the defence of the case now under appeal If the P P of Hassan is engaged for the purpose, it would involve extra expense ~~to Govt~~ by way of T A etc Hence Govt Pleader, Mysore, alone may be instructed to appear in the Appeal

15) The third request viz, directing the D C of Hassan, to meet the expenses of the defence in the Appeal from out of the funds of the Municipality Concerned, may be acceded to and requisite sanction accorded in this behalf

Submd for orders

Hq Date 9 6 45

16) Mr D S Raghavendrachar Advocate is now the Secretary, Stores Purchase

Jr 22/5/45

22/5

Land

9658

C F 69]

Continuation Sheet | File No / Current No | of | Page

"Whether there is a 2nd copy in the original Court (or) the Sub Judge of Hosur, as he was at the time of the alleged Cause of action, District of the Hosur Municipality. Because the Suit was filed against him in his official capacity the P.R. Hosur was asked to depute the expenses were ordered to be paid out of the Municipality. The Suit was dismissed

17) The Respondent deputed party has an application to this Hon'ble Court for Leave to appeal on 9/6/45 the Respondent or other proper that the P.R. Hosur who is in charge of the Stock case may be authorized to conduct the appeal for the Respondent. No doubt, it would be better to have him, it would mean any an extra expense of T.A. & [illegible] when the G.P. is engaged for the party, T.A. will be saved. In either case fees have to be paid — & this will be paid out of Municipal funds. It is prayed —

a) that the P.R. Hosur be authorized to appear for the Respondent Petitioner in the appeal or

b) that G.P. Hosur be authorized

9658 18) proceeds to Court of the P.R. Hosur be engaged as G.P. in the case of the whole case.

31/5/45

Law Secretary

19) As the act was alleged to have been done by the Raghavendrachar in his official capacity, the

WD 02139—GPB—20 000—26 2 45

C F 6 Re: R A 257/44-45, D J, Mysore

Continuation Sheet File No / Current No of Page

Govt Pleader, Mysore was authorised & he engaged Rayaru Advocate to defend him in the Lower Court. In the appellate court the Govt Pleader, Mysore may be engaged to appear for him if is necessary & he & the G.P. Rayaru for the appellant also.

Sd
31/5/45

20) Issued DD

21) Issued Subsd as the Memo was not time & the file today & the cases for hearing tomorrow

Sd
7-6-45

22) Perused Decisions & Subsistence allowance

Sd
7/6/45

23) Draft and fair letter instructing the G.P, Mysore, to appear for Mr D S Rajamundachar (Respdt 2) are putup for signature

Sd
7/6

24) P 7921, dated 7.6.45, issued to the Govt Pleader, D'lore

P 7924, dated 7.6.45, issued to the Secretary, S.P.C, D'lore

C [illegible] R
FOR [illegible] LAW
No 5597
Date 17-6-45

9658

Re R A No 257/44-45, D J Myore

C F 69]

Continuation Sheet | File No / Current No | of | Page

Ref:- Para 21 supra

25) The file is resubmitted after some instructions upon to the Govt Pleader, to appear for Mr D S Raghavendrachar in the Appeal.

Jor. 26) The file is submitted for 8/6/45 perusal by the Hon'ble Minister for Law (Law Secy) 3/9/61

27) Journal Law Minister (illegible) 11/6/45

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR DEFENCE & LAW
No 6032
Date 13.6.65

28) 3/11/61

29) RV 18-7- 18/7/45 3/11/61 244/18/7

30) 3/19/7

31) Mr D S Raghavendrachar (now Secretary, S P C.) who happens to be the Respondent in this Appeal, has solicited order of Govt to meet all costs incidental to his defence in the Appeal from out of the Municipal funds of the Arakere Municipality (Vide para 5)

The expenses incurred in the suit before the trial Court were directed to be charged to the funds of the Arakere Town Municipality (Vide G O - flagged 'S' in the file hooked hereto) Likewise, the expenses incidental to the defence in the Appellate Court may also be ordered to be charged to the said Municipal funds.

The L S G Branch may be consulted in the matter

26/7 26/7

C F 69] Re R A 257/44-45, D J, Mysore

Continuation Sheet | File No / Current No | of | Page 7/58 | 27 JULY 1945

GEN & REV SECRETT. L.S.G. BRANCH

It may now be dealt with by the L S G Branch

Mr Neera

Sd/- Secy (L S G) 3/8/45

Sd/- 7.8.45

OFFICE OF THE FINANCIAL ADVISER AUG 3 1945 No 9574

23) As the expenditure incurred in this case before the Sub Judge's court was ordered to be met out of the funds of the Municipality, the same will also be charged to the funds of the said Municipality.

Hof H (under note)

ADDITIONAL SECRETARY No 632 D 1/6 GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

24) The D C Hassan should be informed accordingly, if approved.

25) Submitted with draft letter to D C Hassan at 24 below.

Sd/- 3/7/45

Sd/- 7.8.45

As in the previous occasion, the Expenditure incurred has to be met out of Municipal funds the D C Hassan will be asked to take action accordingly.

Minister for Agr. Sd/- 1/8

Sd/- 10/8

TYPING SECTION 11 AUG 1945 SECTT

Sd/- 9/8

Secretary

Ministers

WD 02139—GPB—20 000—26 2 45

case relating to Mr K Narasimha Setty USE
Brahmin Mlg-

758/4

To,

The D C Harsamudr

L 1132 & 15/8/45-

states that the expenditure in the connection has to be charged to the funds of the Brahmin Thana Mlg-

L 1133 - 5- & 15-8-45 — copies to the Comptroller, The Secy S P C & the Pvt Pleader Mysore

subject for orders of Govt may not be transferred to the protection Branch

Memo 21/8/45- may be retained here, pending reply from the D C Harsamudr

L 21 8 45

SMS A Memo 29/8/45-

This file needs not be continued in Govt may be recorded

L 29 Aug 45

13 Memo -/8

Hassan

Ref. No. S 20/42 43 22.6.44

Dear Sir

This court stands adjourned to 29-7-44 for cross examination by the accused. The presence of yourself & your witnesses is absolutely necessary for that date. This is the order of the Court. For other witnesses processes are also taken out. Please note that if you are not ready, you may be treated as absent.

Yours faithfully

Sd/- R. Sundaram

Public Prosecutor

P 7721/B 7-6-45

9

B Ramaswamaiya B A LL B
ADVOCATE & PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HASSAN DISTRICT

HASSAN

Date 6-8-44 194

D S Raghavendrachar Esq M Sc
Secretary, Stores Purchase Committee,
Bangalore City

7 8 44

Dear Mr Raghavendrachar,

Fresh Processes of stamp of Rs 4-1-0 and cash of Rs4/- in all Rs 8-1-0 has been paid to Court yesterday, and I have written to The President of the Arsikere Municipality to send me that amount

The suit, you are aware, is posted to 14th, 15th and 16th of September 1944, for evidence, and I request you to kindly see that Mr Swami, the Police Sub Inspector in the High Grounds comes without fail for that hearing I have taken out processes to him also through I G P You will also make it convenient to be present for the hearing, as no more adjournments are likely to be granted, as you could see from the observations of the Judge

Will you please send me the copies of the deposition that are with you?

yours sincerely

B R

P 7721 B 7-6-45

To 22

B Ramaswamaiya, B A LL B
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HASSAN DT

HASSAN

Date 12-9-44 194

12/9/44

Dear Mr Raghavendrachar,

The copy of the deposition to hand Thanks

The Case is for hearing on 14th instant, as you are already aware of We cannot be certain that the case will be heard that day If it is inconvenient re your official work you need not come for this hearing If the case will go on let the witnesses for Plaintiff be examined As it is uncertain that the case will be taken up, I moved the Court today that you may be permitted to come at the next hearing, i.e after the Plaintiff closes his case The Court has been pleased to say " yes" I shall let you know the next date of hearing tomorrow

yours sincerely,

B Ramaswamaiya

Public Prosecutor

P 7424/R 1 7-6-45

25

B Ramaswamaiya B A LL B
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HASSAN DT

HASSAN

Date 15th Sept 1944

To

D S Raghavendrachar, Esq , M Sc ,
Secretary,
Stores Purchase Committee,
United India Building,
Sri Narasimharaja Road,
Bangalore City

dr
16 9 44

Dear Sir,

O S 20/42-43
****

The suit has been adjourned to 19-10-44 for evidence Court has ordered both sides to be ready with all witnesses and evidence I have informed this to Arsikere Municipality also and have asked them to send me Rs 31-2-0 for cost of processes etc immediately as steps have to be taken without delay

You will also come that day with S R Swamy without fail

Please note

Yours Sincerely,

B R
P P

P 7921/B 1 7-6-45

53.

B Ramaswamaiya B A LL B
ADVOCATE & PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HASSAN DISTRICT

Recd on 8/1/45
8/1/45

HASSAN

Date 6 1 1945

Dear Sri Raghavendrachar

On 4 1 45 when you were here, PW1 Krishnarao, PW2 Channakrishnaiah and PW3 Shadakshara Setty were examined and as the pltff wanted to get two other witnesses the case was posted to 5 1 45. And on 4 witnesses were present by me Bailiff & a Rupee each to attest on 5 1 45 This sum of Rupee four is due to me which may kindly be arranged to be paid to me On 5 1 45 our witnesses DW1 Shivalingaiah & DW2 S R Swamy as pltffs witnesses were present and were examined & I have reframed from examining Sri Nagendrarao & Venkatarao & likewise two others as also likewise that were present have returned to their places today On 6 1 45 PW4 Sri Mysa Kasim was examined for pltff and the suit is posted to 18-1-45 for examination of

plaintiff and yourself. So please
arrange to come here in time for
that hearing. Personally I feel
we need not examine Nagesvara
or Venkata Rao. But if you are
particular in examining them or
any of them please write to me at once
I shall take out process
I shall apply for copies of the
depositions of the witnesses
We hope to close the case at
the next hearing on 18 1 45
Arrange to come without fail
as also to stop here on 19 1 45
also if necessary

Yours sincerely
S B Ramaswamy

2-6-65


ON MYSORE
[The name and address of the
and official designation of the
GOVT. SERVICE
addressee and the signature
sender to be written on this side.]
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

7-6-45

[illegible] 6-2 45
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

P 7721/B 1 7-6-45

(9658)

Urgent

To

The Govt. Pleader
Mysore

Re: R A no 257 of
44-45 on the file of the
Dt Judge, Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith the Copy of the Memorandum of Appeal in the above Case and to request you to appear for Respondent no 2, Mr D S Raghavendrachar (Defendant 2 in O S no 20 of 42-43 on the file of the Addl Sub Judge, Hassan) in the Appeal on 9-6-'45 the date to which it stands posted for

Learning and take
necessary steps in the
matter of defending the
said Respondent.

Thanking you,

Yours Sincerely,
for Secy. to Govt.,
Dy Law Deptt

D 7924 7-6-45

Copy to the Secretary, SPC
Simla

5 copies-

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT O S No 20/42-43 on the file of the Sub Magistrate Tadpatri, Hassan (K Narasimha Setty Vs S Arsikere Town mlg & D S Raghavendrachar)

Section M
File No 144-45
Serial No 2

*Diary No of letter replied to*

No L-1132

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 194

To

Mr D C Hassan Al

Sir,

Date of issue 15/8/45

I am directed to invite reference to this office letter no L6647/mil 14-8-43=6 & 4/4/45 directing that the entire expenditure in connection with the above case be met out of the funds of the Arsikere Town mlg, and to state that an appeal is said to have been filed by Mr Kolla Narasimha Setty in the court of the Assistant

Signed by Mr S R Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Judge, Nyeri against the judgement
of the Additional Subordinate Judge
Hassan, dismissing the Appellants' suit
against the Respondents I am to
add, that the entire cost incidental
to this appeal has also to be
charged to the funds of the Arakere Town
ship, as was done in the case of the
original suit filed before the Additional
Subordinate Judge, Hassan the ml cl
may kindly be informed accordingly and the
necessary funds placed at the
disposal of the govt pleader, Nyeri
A report of action taken
may kindly be submitted to govt early

I have etc

[signature] 1/8/45
Additional Secy

Memo - MD 1133-5 15/8/45

copy of the above is forwarded with compliments
to 1) the Comptroller ✓
2) the Secy S P C ✓
3) the govt pleader, Nyeri ✓ for information

[signature]
Addl Secy

copy to Law section